IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

JIBREEL FRAZIER, :

Plaintiff, :

:

v. : Case No. 2:22-cv-2896-JDW

:

TRANS UNION, :

Defendant. :

MEMORANDUM

Jibreel Frazier asserts claims against Trans Union under the Fair Credit Reporting

Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 1681-1681x ("FCRA") and seeks leave to proceed *in forma pauperis*. The

Court will grant Mr. Frazier leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* and dismiss the

Complaint without prejudice for failure to state a claim. The Court will give Mr. Frazier an opportunity to cure the deficiencies identified by the Court by filing an amended complaint.

I. FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

Mr. Frazier submitted a form complaint and an additional document titled "Complaint." The Court treats the documents together as the operative complaint and draws the factual allegations from them. Mr. Frazier contends that Trans Union "furnished a consumer report" in his name and that he "challenged the validity of the information." (ECF No. 2 at 3.) He takes issue with several accounts that Trans Union reported on his credit report. He claims that on June 21, 2022, he sent Trans Union a letter disputing those accounts. As of July 21, when he filed suit, he had not received a

response from Trans Union. He asserts that Trans Union has negligently violated various provisions of the FCRA and that he suffered injuries including lost wages and stress.

II. STANDARD OF REVIEW

A plaintiff seeking leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* must establish that he is unable to pay for the costs of his suit. *See Walker v. People Express Airlines, Inc.*, 886 F.2d 598, 601 (3d Cir. 1989). Where, as here, a court grants a plaintiff leave to proceed *in forma pauperis*, the Court must determine whether the complaint states a claim on which relief may be granted. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B)(ii). That inquiry requires the court to apply the standard for a motion to dismiss under Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(6) and determine whether the complaint contains "sufficient factual matter, accepted as true, to state a claim to relief that is plausible on its face." *See Ashcroft v. Iqbal*, 556 U.S. 662, 678 (2009) (quotation omitted). Under that standard, the court must take all well-pleaded allegations as true, interpret them in the light most favorable to the plaintiff, and draw all inferences in his favor. *See Connelly v. Lane Const. Corp.*, 809 F.3d 780, 790 (3d Cir. 2016). Moreover, because Mr. Frazier is proceeding *pro se*, the Court must construe his pleadings liberally. *See Higgs v. Att'y Gen.*, 655 F.3d 333, 339 (3d Cir. 2011).

III. DISCUSSION

A. Leave To Proceed *In Forma Pauperis*

Mr. Frazier has completed the form provided on the Court's website for applications to proceed *in forma pauperis* and has attested under penalty of perjury that

he cannot afford to pay the filing fees. Moreover, his application to proceed *in forma*pauperis demonstrates that he lacks the income or assets to pay the required filing fees.

Therefore, the Court will grant him leave to proceed *in forma pauperis*.

B. Plausibility Of Claims In The Complaint

The FCRA was enacted "to ensure fair and accurate credit reporting, promote efficiency in the banking system, and protect consumer privacy." *Safeco Ins. Co. of Am. v. Burr*, 551 U.S. 47, 52 (2007). In the language of the FCRA, consumer reporting agencies "collect consumer credit data from 'furnishers,' such as banks and other lenders, and organize that material into individualized credit reports, which are used by commercial entities to assess a particular consumer's creditworthiness." *Seamans v. Temple Univ.*, 744 F.3d 853, 860 (3d Cir. 2014).

15 U.S.C. § 1681e(b) requires consumer reporting agencies to "follow reasonable procedures to assure maximum possible accuracy of the information concerning the individual about whom the report relates." 15 U.S.C. § 1681i(a)(1)(A) requires a consumer reporting agency to "conduct a reasonable reinvestigation to determine whether the disputed information is inaccurate." "Generally speaking, a consumer reporting agency does not violate the FCRA's requirement that it 'follow reasonable procedures to assure maximum possible accuracy' of credit reports or to 'reinvestigate' consumer disputes of information if the information that it reports is factually accurate." *Williams v. Experian Info. Sols., Inc.*, No. 14-8115, 2016 WL 3466089, at *3 (D.N.J. June 21, 2016); *see also*

Angino v. Trans Union LLC, 784 F. App'x 67, 69 (3d Cir. 2019). The FCRA provides for civil liability for noncompliance due to willfulness and negligence. See 15 U.S.C. § 1681n, § 1681o.

Mr. Frazier's Complaint seems to present claims based on Trans Union's alleged negligent noncompliance with 15 U.S.C. §§ 1681e and 1681i, although he mentions various other provisions in the statute. But the allegations in the Complaint are sparse, conclusory, and not plausible as pled. Although the Complaint lists several accounts that Mr. Frazier says he "challenged," he has not alleged what inaccurate information he believes was included in his credit report, nor has he alleged sufficient facts about how he disputed the inaccurate information. Mr. Frazier also fails to allege what actions Trans Union failed to take. That lack of detail renders the Complaint implausible. *See Iqbal*, 556 U.S. at 678.

IV. CONCLUSION

The Court will dismiss Mr. Frazier's Complaint without prejudice pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B)(ii) for failure to state a claim. The Court will give Mr. Frazier an opportunity to amend his Complaint to include the required detail. An appropriate Order follows.

BY THE COURT:

/s/ Joshua D. Wolson

JOSHUA D. WOLSON, J.

August 11, 2022

4